

FORM 4 – sample Table of Contents and Assignments of Error

TABLE OF CONTENTS  
AND  
ASSIGNMENTS OF ERROR

	PAGE
<b>Statement of the Case.</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
<b>Statement of the Facts .</b> . . . .	<b>2</b>
<b>First Assignment of Error.</b> . . . . .	<b>10</b>

THE TRIAL COURT ERRED TO THE PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT-  
APPELLANT IN OVERRULING HIS MOTION FOR DIRECTED VERDICT  
MADE AT THE CLOSE OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE'S CASE.

<b>Issue(s) Presented for Review and Argument.</b> . . . . .	<b>11</b>
--	-----------

1. In an action for damages predicated on breach of contract, where the record fails to show any evidence that the contract was supported by legally sufficient consideration, the plaintiff has failed to establish an essential element necessary for a prima facie case, and judgment must be entered for the defendant upon appropriate motion therefor.

**Authorities:**

<i>ABC Corp. v. DOE</i> , 96 Ohio St.3d 45, 2002-Ohio-1234 . . . . .	11
R.C. 2401.01. . . . .	11
59 Ohio Jurisprudence 2d (1989), Evidence, §101 . . . . .	12
<i>The Gray Co. v. Brown</i> , (Dec. 2, 1996), Franklin App. No. 95AP-0234 . . . . .	12

<b>Second Assignment of Error.</b> . . . . .	<b>13</b>
--	-----------

THE TRIAL COURT ERRED TO THE PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT-  
APPELLANT IN ADMITTING INTO EVIDENCE, OVER HIS OBJECTION,  
TESTIMONY THAT PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE "PROBABLY" SUFFERED  
\$9,000 LOST PROFITS.

<b>Issue(s) Presented for Review and Argument.</b> . . . . .	<b>13</b>
--	-----------

1. Non-expert opinion testimony and uncorroborated speculations as to lost profits are incompetent as evidence of damages.

**Authorities:**

Black, Evidence of Damages (1975), 1 Colum.L.Rev.1. . . . .	13
--	----

<i>Cash v. Johnson</i> , 140 Ohio App.3d 345, 2003-Ohio-7890 .....	14
<i>EFG Corp. v. H</i> (1990), 49 Ohio St.3d 124 .....	14
<i>In re Anderson</i> , (May 5, 1995), Butler App. No. CA94-05-089, 1994 WL 567890 .....	14

**Third Assignment of Error. .... 15**

THE TRIAL COURT ERRED TO THE PREJUDICE OF DEFENDANT-  
APPELLANT IN OVERRULING HIS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT NOT-  
WITHSTANDING THE VERDICT.

**Issue(s) Presented for Review and Argument. .... 15,16**

1. A judgment for damages predicated upon breach of contract is contrary to law where the record contains no evidence of legally sufficient consideration.

**Authorities:**

Civ.R. 50(B) .....	15
<i>Justice v. Columbus</i> , Franklin App. No. 99AP-675, 2001-Ohio-017 .....	15

2. A judgment for \$10,000 damages predicated upon breach of contract is contrary to the manifest weight of the evidence where the record shows on \$1,000 actual damages.

**Authorities:**

<i>ABC Corp. v. XYZ Corp.</i> (Jan. 2, 1974), Hamilton App. No. 74001, 1974 Ohio App. Lexis 271, .....	16
Civ.R. 50(B) .....	18
<i>Dayton Power &amp; Light Co. v. Egelhoff</i> (1989), 9 Ohio St.3d 316. ....	16
<i>DOE v. ROE</i> (App.1950), 61 Ohio Law Abs. 101 .....	17

**Conclusion. .... 19**

**Certificate of Service ..... 20**